Environmental Hazards of the Oil and Gas Industry in Nigeria: Legal and Socio- Economic Implications

Nkechi Claries Nwachukwu*

Abstract

Oil and gas exploration in Nigeria has generated severe environmental hazards, particularly in the Niger Delta region, where decades of exploration and production activities have resulted in widespread ecological degradation. This article examines the nature of these environmental hazards and evaluates their ecological, health and socio-economic consequences within the Nigerian context. Employing a doctrinal research methodology and analytical and descriptive approaches the study assesses the adequacy of Nigeria's legal and institutional frameworks governing environmental protection, environmental governance and compensation mechanisms in the petroleum sector. The findings reveal extensive environmental degradation in oil-producing communities. The study further establishes that these environmental consequences are compounded by weak regulatory enforcement, institutional fragmentation and inadequate compliance with environmental standards, thereby undermining effective environmental governance in Nigeria. It argues that although Nigeria has enacted several environmental laws and established regulatory institutions, including the Petroleum Industry Act 2021 and related statutes, their effectiveness remains limited in practice. The article concludes that there is a pressing need for stronger enforcement mechanisms, improved institutional coordination and a rights-based approach to environmental protection. It recommends the establishment of an Oil Pollution Compensation Fund to ensure timely and adequate compensation for victims of environmental harm, alongside stricter enforcement of environmental regulations to promote sustainable development in Nigeria's petroleum sector.

Keywords: Environmental hazards, oil pollution, gas flaring, environmental law, Nigeria, Niger Delta, compensation, sustainable development

* Nwachukwu Nkechi Claries, LLM, BL, LLB (Hons), PGDE, Claris4jesus@ yahoo.com; 08032660577, 08186920927

1. Introduction

The oil and gas industry has remained the backbone of Nigeria's economy since the discovery of crude oil in commercial quantities at Oloibiri in 1956.[1] Petroleum resources continue to account for a substantial proportion of national revenue and foreign exchange earnings. Notwithstanding its economic significance, the industry has generated severe environmental hazards, particularly in the Niger Delta region, where decades of exploration, production and transportation activities have resulted in extensive ecological degradation.[2]

These environmental hazards manifest in the form of oil spills, gas flaring, pipeline leakages and the discharge of toxic substances into land, air and water bodies. Such activities have contributed to soil contamination, destruction of aquatic life, deforestation, air pollution and loss of biodiversity, thereby threatening environmental sustainability and public health.[3] The consequences are particularly severe for host communities whose livelihoods depend largely on agriculture, fishing and other natural resource-based economic activities. The Niger Delta region has witnessed thousands of oil spill incidents over the years, resulting in extensive contamination of farmlands, rivers and aquatic ecosystems.[4] Gas flaring, despite regulatory efforts aimed at reducing its occurrence, continues to contribute significantly to greenhouse gas emissions, climate change and respiratory health challenges in adjoining communities.[5] The cumulative effect of these environmental hazards has been widespread poverty, loss of livelihoods and social unrest in oil-producing areas.

The environmental challenges associated with oil and gas exploitation in Nigeria are frequently discussed within the framework of the

---

[1] M A Ajomo, Oil and Gas Law in Nigeria (Malthouse Press Ltd, Lagos, 2001) p. 3.

[2] . United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) p. 9.

[3] Philippe Sands and Jacqueline Peel, Principles of International Environmental Law, 3rd edn (Cambridge University Press, 2012) p. 736.

[4] A Ikelegbe, 'Oil Spill Crisis and National Development in Nigeria: The Robustness of Government Response' (2005) Vol. 3 Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa 1 at 7.

[5] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) p. 158.

'resource curse' theory, which describes the paradox whereby resource-rich countries experience underdevelopment and environmental degradation despite their abundant natural resource endowments.[6] In Nigeria, this paradox is evident in the Niger Delta region, where oil production has generated enormous national revenue whilst simultaneously imposing considerable environmental costs on host communities. Nigeria has enacted several environmental laws and established regulatory institutions aimed at addressing these challenges. These include the Petroleum Industry Act 2021,[7] the National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (Establishment) Act,[8] the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act,[9] and other related legislation. Nigeria is also a party to several international environmental instruments designed to promote sustainable development and environmental protection.[10] Nevertheless, concerns persist regarding the effectiveness of enforcement mechanisms, institutional capacity and access to remedies for affected communities.

Weak enforcement mechanisms, regulatory inefficiencies and limited access to justice have contributed to the persistence of environmental degradation in the oil and gas sector. Consequently, affected communities often encounter difficulties in obtaining timely remediation and adequate compensation for environmental harm.[11]This article therefore examines the environmental hazards associated with oil and gas operations in Nigeria and analyses their legal and socio-economic implications. It evaluates the adequacy of the existing legal and institutional framework for environmental protection and assesses

---

[6] Richard Auty, Resource Abundance and Economic Development (Oxford University Press, 2001) p. 5.

[7] Petroleum Industry Act 2021.

[8] National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (Establishment) Act, Cap. N157, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 2004.

[9] National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act 2007.

[10] African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Ratification and Enforcement) Act, Cap. A9, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria, 2004; Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 1992.

[11] *Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd v Tiebo VII* (1996) 4 NWLR (Pt. 445) 657 at 680, SC; Elf Petroleum Nigeria Ltd v Umah (2018) 10 NWLR (Pt. 1628) 428 at 462, SC.

the effectiveness of compensation mechanisms available to victims of environmental pollution. It contends that, notwithstanding the existence of numerous statutory frameworks, deficiencies in enforcement and institutional weaknesses continue to undermine environmental governance within Nigeria's petroleum sector. The article adopts a doctrinal legal research methodology, relying on primary sources such as legislation, judicial decisions and international instruments, as well as secondary sources including textbooks, journal articles and institutional reports. Through descriptive and analytical approaches, the study critically examines the environmental hazards arising from oil and gas activities and their legal and socio-economic implications in Nigeria.

2. Conceptual Clarification

2.1 Environment

The concept of the environment encompasses the totality of the physical, chemical, biological and social surroundings within which human beings and other living organisms exist and interact. It includes the atmosphere, land, water, flora, fauna and the interrelationships among these components.[12] Environmental law is principally concerned with the protection and preservation of these components against degradation arising from human activities and industrial processes. The environment is indispensable to human survival and sustainable development, hence the need for legal and institutional mechanisms for its protection.[13]

2.2 Environmental Hazard

An environmental hazard refers to any natural or anthropogenic condition, substance or activity capable of causing injury or adverse effects to human beings, ecosystems or property.[14] Within the oil and gas sector, environmental hazards arise from exploration, drilling, production, transportation and storage activities. Such hazards include oil spills, gas flaring, pipeline leakages and the discharge of hazardous substances into the environment. These activities have been identified

[12] 12. Philippe Sands and Jacqueline Peel, Principles of International Environmental Law, 3rd edn (Cambridge University Press, 2012) p. 15.

[13] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) p. 5.

[14] I Albert Utton, International Environmental Law and Policy (Transnational Publishers Inc., New York, 1975) p. 21.

as major sources of ecological degradation and public health concerns in oil-producing communities.[15]

3.3 Environmental Pollution

Environmental pollution refers to the introduction of contaminants or harmful substances into the environment in quantities capable of causing adverse effects on ecological systems and human health.[16] It affects the quality of air, water and soil and constitutes one of the most pressing environmental challenges confronting industrial and extractive sectors. In the context of petroleum operations, environmental pollution has been associated with oil spills, gas flaring and the indiscriminate disposal of toxic wastes, all of which have contributed significantly to environmental degradation in Nigeria.[17]

3.4 Gas Flaring

Gas flaring refers to the deliberate burning of associated natural gas released during crude oil extraction and production processes.[18] Although originally intended as a safety measure, routine gas flaring has become a major environmental concern due to its contribution to greenhouse gas emissions, climate change, acid rain and respiratory ailments in adjoining communities.[19] The practice has attracted both judicial and legislative intervention in Nigeria owing to its adverse environmental and human rights implications.[20]

2.5 Oil Spill

---

[15] A Ikelegbe, 'Oil Spill Crisis and National Development in Nigeria: The Robustness of Government Response' (2005) Vol. 3 Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa 1 at 8.

[16] P W Birnie, A E Boyle and Catherine Redgwell, International Law and the Environment, 3rd edn (Oxford University Press, 2009) p. 3.

[17] P W Birnie, A E Boyle and Catherine Redgwell, International Law and the Environment, 3rd edn (Oxford University Press, 2009) p. 3.

[18] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) p. 157.

[19] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) p. 23.

[20] *Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others (2005) AHRLR 151 (NgHC 2005).*

An oil spill refers to the accidental or operational discharge of crude oil or petroleum products into terrestrial or aquatic environments.[21] Oil spills constitute one of the most devastating forms of environmental pollution associated with petroleum operations. Their effects include contamination of water bodies, destruction of aquatic ecosystems, loss of biodiversity, reduction in soil fertility and decline in agricultural productivity.[22] In Nigeria, oil spills have been responsible for extensive environmental degradation and have generated numerous claims for compensation by affected individuals and host communities.[23]

3. Environmental Hazards Associated With Oil And Gas Operations In Nigeria

Oil and gas operations in Nigeria generate a wide range of environmental hazards that have significantly affected ecosystems, public health and socio-economic development, particularly in the Niger Delta region. These hazards arise from exploration, drilling, production, transportation and associated industrial activities. The most prominent among them include oil spills, gas flaring, effluent discharge, deforestation, Pipeline Leakages and Explosions and Loss of Biodiversity and Habitat Destruction, each of which presents distinct but interrelated environmental challenges.[24]

3.1 Oil Spill

Oil spills constitute one of the most pervasive environmental hazards associated with petroleum operations in Nigeria. They occur as a result of pipeline rupture, equipment failure, operational negligence, corrosion of ageing infrastructure and, in some instances, sabotage and crude oil theft.[25] Irrespective of the cause, the environmental consequences are often severe and long-lasting.

---

[21] M A Ajomo, Oil and Gas Law in Nigeria (Malthouse Press Ltd, Lagos, 2001) p. 105.

[22] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) 88.

[23] Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd v Tiebo VII (1996) 4 NWLR (Pt. 445) 657 at 680, SC; Elf Petroleum Nigeria Ltd v Umah (2018) 10 NWLR (Pt. 1628) 428 at 462, SC.

[24] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) 23.

[25] M A Ajomo, Oil and Gas Law in Nigeria (Malthouse Press Ltd, Lagos, 2001) p. 106.

Spilled crude oil contaminates soil and water bodies, destroys aquatic ecosystems and renders agricultural lands unproductive. In coastal and riverine communities, oil pollution disrupts fishing activities, thereby undermining the principal source of livelihood for many inhabitants.[26] The persistence of oil contamination in affected areas has also resulted in long-term ecological degradation, with some ecosystems exhibiting limited capacity for natural recovery. Judicial and regulatory responses have frequently proved inadequate in ensuring timely remediation and the payment of adequate compensation to affected communities.[27]

3.2 Gas Flaring

Gas flaring constitutes a major environmental challenge in Nigeria's oil and gas industry. It involves the combustion of associated natural gas during crude oil extraction and production processes, largely owing to inadequate infrastructure for gas capture and utilisation.[28] Despite various legislative and regulatory efforts aimed at reducing or eliminating the practice, gas flaring has persisted over the years. Gas flaring contributes significantly to atmospheric pollution through the emission of greenhouse gases, particularly carbon dioxide and methane. It also releases toxic substances that contribute to acid rain, climate change and respiratory ailments in neighbouring communities.[29] The environmental and health implications are further aggravated by the proximity of flare sites to residential settlements, especially in the Niger Delta region, where exposure levels remain considerably high.[30]

3.3 Effluent Discharge

---

[26] A Ikelegbe, 'Oil Spill Crisis and National Development in Nigeria: The Robustness of Government Response' (2005) Vol. *3 Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa* 1 at 9.

[27] Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd v Tiebo VII (1996) 4 NWLR (Pt. 445) 657 at 680, SC; Elf Petroleum Nigeria Ltd v Umah (2018) 10 NWLR (Pt. 1628) 428 at 462, SC.

[28] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) p. 157.

[29] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 94.

[30] *Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others* (2005) AHRLR 151 (NgHC 2005).

Effluent discharge refers to the release of liquid waste and industrial by-products generated from oil and gas operations into surrounding land and water bodies. Such effluents often contain hydrocarbons, heavy metals and other toxic substances capable of degrading water quality and posing serious risks to human health and aquatic ecosystems.[31] In Nigeria, inadequate treatment and monitoring of industrial effluents have contributed to extensive water pollution in oil-producing communities. This has adversely affected sources of potable water, increased the prevalence of water-borne diseases and disrupted aquatic biodiversity.[32] The absence of effective regulatory oversight and enforcement mechanisms has further exacerbated the environmental impact of effluent discharge from petroleum facilities.[33]

3.4 Deforestation

Deforestation represents another significant environmental hazard associated with oil and gas operations in Nigeria. It arises from land clearing for seismic activities, pipeline construction, drilling sites, access roads and other ancillary infrastructure.[34] In certain instances, oil pollution has also contributed to the gradual destruction of vegetation cover and forest resources. The loss of forest resources has far-reaching environmental consequences, including soil erosion, loss of biodiversity, disruption of ecological balance and increased vulnerability to climate change.[35] For many communities in the Niger Delta region, deforestation undermines traditional livelihoods that depend upon forest resources for food, medicinal purposes and economic activities. The cumulative effect of these activities has been a progressive deterioration in environmental quality across oil-producing areas of Nigeria.[36]

---

[31] PW Birnie, A E Boyle and Catherine Redgwell, International Law and the Environment, 3rd edn (Oxford University Press, 2009) p. 3.

[32] . United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) p. 88.

[33] National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act 2007.

[34] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) p. 162.

[35] Philippe Sands and Jacqueline Peel, Principles of International Environmental Law, 3rd edn (Cambridge University Press, 2012) p. 741.

[36] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 97.

3.5 Pipeline Leakages and Explosions

Pipeline leakages and explosions constitute another significant environmental hazard associated with oil and gas operations in Nigeria. Nigeria possesses an extensive network of oil pipelines traversing various communities and ecological zones. Many of these pipelines have become susceptible to leakages due to corrosion, ageing infrastructure, poor maintenance practices, operational failures and acts of sabotage.[37]Leakages from petroleum pipelines often result in the discharge of crude oil and petroleum products into surrounding environments, causing contamination of soil, surface water and groundwater resources. Such incidents have devastating consequences for agricultural activities, aquatic ecosystems and public health. In addition to environmental pollution, pipeline explosions have frequently resulted in the loss of lives, destruction of property and displacement of affected communities.[38] The prevalence of illegal oil bunkering and pipeline vandalism has further exacerbated the incidence of pipeline failures and explosions in Nigeria. Apart from causing enormous economic losses to the nation, these activities have contributed significantly to environmental degradation and increased the vulnerability of host communities to ecological disasters.[39]The recurring occurrence of pipeline leakages and explosions highlights the need for improved pipeline integrity management, periodic replacement of ageing infrastructure and stricter enforcement of environmental and safety regulations. It also underscores the importance of effective surveillance mechanisms and prompt emergency response systems to minimise environmental damage and protect lives and property.[40]

3.6 Loss of Biodiversity and Habitat Destruction

The loss of biodiversity and destruction of natural habitats constitute some of the most serious environmental consequences of oil and gas

---

[37] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) 156.

[38] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) 92.

[39] Human Rights Watch, The Price of Oil: Corporate Responsibility and Human Rights Violations in Nigeria's Oil Producing Communities (Human Rights Watch, 1999) 37.

[40] Petroleum Industry Act 2021, ss. 102–104.

operations in Nigeria. Exploration and production activities, oil spills, gas flaring, deforestation and the construction of petroleum infrastructure have collectively contributed to the degradation of terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems, particularly within the Niger Delta region.[41] Oil pollution has caused extensive damage to mangrove forests, wetlands and freshwater ecosystems, resulting in the depletion of numerous plant and animal species. Many species of fish, birds and other wildlife have either declined significantly or become locally extinct due to the destruction of their natural habitats and exposure to toxic substances.[42]^18 Furthermore, gas flaring and other petroleum-related emissions have altered ecological conditions and contributed to climate change, thereby threatening the survival of sensitive species and disrupting ecological balance.[43]

The destruction of biodiversity has profound socio-economic implications for local communities whose livelihoods depend on natural resources. The depletion of fisheries, forest resources and wildlife has adversely affected food security, traditional occupations and cultural practices in many oil-producing areas.[44] In addition, the loss of ecosystem services provided by forests, wetlands and aquatic environments has undermined environmental sustainability and increased the vulnerability of communities to natural disasters and climate-related risks.[45] The continuing decline in biodiversity underscores the necessity for stronger environmental regulation, effective conservation measures and the adoption of sustainable petroleum development practices. It further highlights the need for comprehensive environmental impact assessments and ecosystem

[41] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) 67.

[42] EA Egborge, 'Oil Pollution and the Nigerian Environment' in AM Osuntokun (ed), Dimensions of Environmental Problems in Nigeria (Davidson Press, Ibadan, 1998) 95.

[43] World Bank, Global Gas Flaring Reduction Partnership Report (World Bank, 2022) 21.

[44] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) 84.

[45] P Sands and J Peel, Principles of International Environmental Law (4th edn, Cambridge University Press, 2018) 713.

restoration programmes aimed at preserving Nigeria's biological resources for present and future generations.[46]

4. Legal Framework for Environmental Protection in Nigeria

The legal framework governing environmental protection in Nigeria's oil and gas sector comprises constitutional provisions, statutory enactments, regulatory institutions and international instruments. These frameworks collectively seek to regulate petroleum operations, prevent environmental degradation and provide remedies for persons adversely affected by environmental pollution. Notwithstanding the existence of an extensive body of laws and institutions, their effectiveness has often been undermined by weak enforcement mechanisms, inadequate institutional capacity and overlapping regulatory functions.[47]

4.1 Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended)

The Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended) provides the foundational legal basis for environmental protection in Nigeria. Although the Constitution does not expressly guarantee a right to a clean and healthy environment, environmental protection may be inferred from the provisions of Chapter II relating to the Fundamental Objectives and Directive Principles of State Policy. Specifically, section 20 of the Constitution imposes an obligation upon the State to protect and improve the environment and safeguard the water, air, land, forests and wildlife of Nigeria.[48] However, the non-justiciable character of the provisions contained in Chapter II, by virtue of section 6(6)(c) of the Constitution, substantially limits their enforceability before Nigerian courts.[49] Consequently, victims of environmental degradation are often compelled to rely on statutory remedies and common law actions in seeking redress for environmental harm. This constitutional limitation has remained a major challenge to environmental litigation in Nigeria.[50]

---

[46] Environmental Impact Assessment Act, Cap E12, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004.

[47] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) p. 89.

[48] Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), s. 20.

[49] Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), s. 6(6)(c).

[50] B O Nwabueze, Constitutional Democracy in Africa (Spectrum Books Ltd, Ibadan, 2003) p. 211.

4.2 Petroleum Industry Act 2021

The Petroleum Industry Act 2021 represents one of the most significant legislative reforms in Nigeria's petroleum industry. The Act establishes a comprehensive legal and regulatory framework governing upstream, midstream and downstream petroleum operations and contains several provisions relating to environmental management and host community development.[51]One of the notable innovations introduced by the Act is the establishment of the Host Communities Development Trust, which is intended to foster sustainable development and strengthen the relationship between oil companies and host communities.[52] The Act further imposes obligations upon petroleum licensees and lessees to comply with applicable environmental standards and undertake environmental remediation in respect of damage arising from their operations.[53] Notwithstanding these innovations, concerns persist regarding the implementation of the Act, the adequacy of funding mechanisms for remediation activities and the extent to which the legislation effectively addresses the historical environmental degradation experienced in the Niger Delta region.[54]

4.3 Oil Pipelines Act

The Oil Pipelines Act regulates the granting of licences for the construction, maintenance and operation of oil pipelines in Nigeria.[55] The Act provides for the payment of compensation to individuals and communities whose lands, crops or economic interests are adversely affected by pipeline construction, operation or leakage.[56] However, the compensation regime established under the Act has been criticised for being inadequate and procedurally cumbersome. Victims of oil pollution frequently encounter considerable evidential and legal difficulties in establishing liability, particularly in situations where environmental damage is attributed to sabotage or interference by third

[51] Petroleum Industry Act 2021.

[52] Petroleum Industry Act 2021, ss. 234-257.

[53] Petroleum Industry Act 2021, ss. 102 and 103.

[54] A A Omorogbe, Oil and Gas Law in Nigeria (Malthouse Press Ltd, Lagos, 2001) p. 314.

[55] Oil Pipelines Act, Cap. O7, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004.

[56] Oil Pipelines Act, Cap. O7, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004, ss. 11 and 20.

parties.[57] Consequently, the effectiveness of the Act as a mechanism for environmental compensation has remained limited.

4.4 National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (Establishment) Act

The National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (Establishment) Act established the National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (NOSDRA) as the principal agency responsible for monitoring oil spill incidents and ensuring prompt response, containment and remediation.[58] The Act empowers the Agency to coordinate oil spill management activities and enforce compliance with environmental standards within the petroleum sector.[59] Nevertheless, the operational effectiveness of NOSDRA has been constrained by inadequate funding, limited technical capacity and overlapping responsibilities with other regulatory agencies.[60] These challenges have adversely affected the Agency's ability to effectively address oil pollution incidents and enforce environmental compliance.

4.5 National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act

The National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act established the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (NESREA) as the principal body responsible for enforcing environmental laws, regulations and standards in Nigeria.[61] The Agency performs important functions relating to environmental monitoring, pollution control and the enforcement of environmental regulations. However, the jurisdiction of NESREA expressly excludes the oil and gas sector, which remains subject to separate regulatory institutions.[62] This jurisdictional limitation has been widely criticised for creating

---

[57] Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Ltd v Farah (1995) 3 NWLR (Pt. 382) 148 at 160, SC.

[58] National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (Establishment) Act, Cap. N157, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004.

[59] *Ibid*., s. 6.

[60] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) p. 198.

[61] National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency (Establishment) Act 2007.

[62] *Ibid*., s. 7(c).

regulatory fragmentation and weakening environmental governance in relation to petroleum activities.[63]

4.6 International Instruments

Nigeria is a party to several international environmental instruments that influence domestic environmental law and policy. These include the Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 1992, the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change and the Convention on Biological Diversity.[64] Of particular importance is the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, which has been domesticated in Nigeria and forms part of Nigerian law.[65] The provisions of the Charter have been relied upon by Nigerian courts in advancing environmental rights claims. In Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others, the Federal High Court recognised that environmental pollution and gas flaring violated the fundamental rights to life and dignity guaranteed under the Constitution and the African Charter.[66] Nevertheless, the effectiveness of international environmental instruments remains largely dependent upon their domestication, implementation and enforcement at the domestic level.[67]Overall, whilst Nigeria possesses a relatively extensive legal and institutional framework for environmental protection, considerable gaps remain in relation to enforcement, regulatory coordination and practical implementation. These deficiencies continue to undermine effective environmental governance within the oil and gas sector and hinder the attainment of sustainable development.

5. Socio-Economic Implications of Environmental Hazards

[63] M A Ajomo, Environmental Law and Sustainable Development in Nigeria (NIALS, Lagos, 1998) p. 122.

[64] Rio Declaration on Environment and Development 1992; Convention on Biological Diversity 1992; United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change 1992.

[65] African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Ratification and Enforcement) Act, Cap. A9, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004.

[66] Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others (2005) AHRLR 151 (NgHC 2005).

[67] P Sands and J Peel, Principles of International Environmental Law, 3rd edn (Cambridge University Press, 2012) p. 188.

Environmental hazards arising from oil and gas operations in Nigeria have profound socio-economic implications, particularly for host communities in the Niger Delta region. Beyond the physical degradation of the environment, these hazards adversely affect public health, livelihoods, economic stability, social relations and the enjoyment of fundamental human rights. The cumulative effects of environmental pollution have contributed to poverty, social dislocation and persistent underdevelopment, thereby undermining sustainable development in oil-producing communities.[68]

5.1 Health Consequences

One of the most significant consequences of environmental pollution arising from oil and gas activities is its adverse effect on human health. Exposure to pollutants resulting from oil spills, gas flaring and contaminated water sources has been associated with respiratory disorders, skin diseases, reproductive abnormalities and other pollution-related illnesses.[69] Communities situated in close proximity to oil exploration and production facilities are particularly vulnerable owing to prolonged exposure to toxic substances in the air, water and soil. Furthermore, the contamination of drinking water sources and agricultural produce increases the prevalence of water-borne diseases and other chronic health conditions. These challenges are compounded by the inadequate healthcare infrastructure available in many rural communities within the Niger Delta region.[70] Consequently, environmental pollution poses a serious threat to public health and human welfare.

5.2 Loss of Livelihood

Environmental hazards associated with oil and gas operations have significantly undermined traditional economic activities such as farming, fishing and forestry, which constitute the principal means of livelihood for many host communities.[71] Oil spills contaminate agricultural lands and water bodies, thereby rendering them unsuitable

---

[68] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 15.

[69] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 84.

[70] Ibid., p. 205..

for cultivation and fishing activities. As a result, many households experience substantial declines in income and economic productivity. The destruction of natural resources has also increased dependence on external assistance and informal survival mechanisms, thereby weakening local economic resilience and sustainability.[72] In many instances, the inability to pursue traditional occupations has contributed to unemployment and rural impoverishment.

5.3 Poverty

Environmental degradation in oil-producing communities has contributed significantly to persistent poverty in the Niger Delta region. Notwithstanding the enormous wealth generated from petroleum resources, host communities often remain economically marginalised and underdeveloped.[73] Environmental pollution diminishes access to productive resources, restricts employment opportunities and increases the cost of living. This disparity between resource abundance and local deprivation exemplifies the phenomenon commonly referred to as the 'resource curse', whereby resource-rich regions experience underdevelopment and socio-economic inequality despite their natural wealth.[74] The persistence of poverty in oil-producing areas continues to raise questions regarding distributive justice and equitable resource management.

5.4 Community Conflicts

Environmental degradation has also contributed to increasing tensions and conflicts within and among communities in oil-producing areas. Competition over diminishing natural resources, disputes relating to compensation and perceptions of environmental injustice have

---

[71] A Ikelegbe, 'Oil Spill Crisis and National Development in Nigeria: The Robustness of Government Response' (2005) Vol. 3 *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa* 1 at 10.

[72] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 84.

[73] E E Ebeku, 'Oil and the Niger Delta People: The Injustice of the Land Use Act' (2001) Vol. 12 *Centrepoint Journal 115 at 122.*

[74] Richard Auty, Resource Abundance and Economic Development (Oxford University Press, 2001) p. 5.

generated social unrest and inter-communal conflicts.[75] In certain instances, grievances arising from environmental neglect and inadequate compensation have fuelled protests, vandalism of oil installations and militant activities within the Niger Delta region.[76] These conflicts have adversely affected peace, security and investment in the region, thereby complicating efforts aimed at achieving sustainable development and environmental restoration.

5.5 Human Rights Concerns

Environmental degradation resulting from oil and gas operations raises important human rights concerns, particularly in relation to the rights to life, health, food, water and a satisfactory environment conducive to development. Although the Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended) does not expressly recognise a right to a healthy environment, environmental harm has, in certain instances, been interpreted as implicating constitutionally guaranteed rights.[77] Regional human rights instruments, particularly the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights, have provided a basis for advancing environmental justice claims in Nigeria.[78] In *Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others*, the Federal High Court held that gas flaring violated the rights to life and human dignity guaranteed under the Constitution and the African Charter.[79]

Similarly, the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights, in *Social and Economic Rights Action Centre (SERAC) and Another v Nigeria*, held that the Nigerian Government had violated several provisions of the African Charter by failing to prevent environmental degradation arising from oil exploitation activities in Ogoniland.[80] Overall, the socio-economic implications of environmental hazards in

---

[75] Cyril Obi, Oil and Insurgency in the Niger Delta: Managing the Complex Politics of Petro-Violence (Zed Books Ltd, London, 2010) p. 43.

[76] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 90.

[77] Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999 (as amended), ss. 33 and 34.

[78] African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Ratification and Enforcement) Act, Cap. A9, Laws of the Federation of Nigeria 2004.

[79] Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others (2005) AHRLR 151 (NgHC 2005).

[80] *Social and Economic Rights Action Centre (SERAC) and another v Nigeria* (2001) AHRLR 60 (ACHPR 2001).

Nigeria demonstrate the inextricable relationship between environmental governance, public welfare and sustainable development. Addressing these challenges requires not only effective regulatory enforcement but also the adoption of a rights-based approach to environmental protection, one that prioritises environmental justice, accountability and the welfare of affected communities.

5.6 Food Insecurity and Displacement

Environmental degradation arising from oil and gas operations has contributed significantly to food insecurity and the displacement of populations in many oil-producing communities in Nigeria. The contamination of agricultural lands, destruction of fisheries and depletion of forest resources has adversely affected food production and access to safe and nutritious food.[81] Since farming and fishing constitute the primary means of subsistence for many rural communities in the Niger Delta, the destruction of these resources has exacerbated hunger, malnutrition and economic hardship.

Oil spills and gas flaring have reduced soil fertility and impaired aquatic ecosystems, thereby diminishing crop yields and fish stocks.[82] Consequently, affected households often experience reduced income and increased dependence on imported food and humanitarian assistance. The deterioration in food security has had far-reaching implications for public health, social stability and sustainable development. In addition, persistent environmental degradation has compelled many inhabitants of oil-producing communities to abandon their ancestral homes and relocate to urban areas in search of alternative means of livelihood.[83] Such displacement has disrupted traditional patterns of communal life, weakened cultural ties and increased pressure on urban infrastructure and social services. In some instances, displacement resulting from environmental degradation has intensified competition over scarce resources and heightened social tensions within host communities.

[81] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) p. 78.

[82] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 83.

[83] C Obi, Oil and Insurgency in the Niger Delta: Managing the Complex Politics of Petro-Violence (Zed Books Ltd, London, 2010) p. 56.

The challenges of food insecurity and environmental displacement underscore the need for a holistic approach to environmental governance, one that integrates ecological sustainability with human security and socio-economic development. Addressing these challenges requires effective environmental remediation, restoration of degraded ecosystems and the implementation of policies aimed at enhancing food security and protecting vulnerable populations from environmentally induced displacement.[84]

6. Selected Case Studies of Environmental Degradation in Nigeria

The environmental consequences of oil and gas operations in Nigeria are not merely theoretical concerns but lived realities that have profoundly affected communities in oil-producing regions. Several incidents illustrate the extent of environmental degradation and the persistent challenges associated with regulation, remediation and compensation. This section examines selected case studies that highlight the environmental and socio-economic implications of petroleum activities in Nigeria.

6.1 The Ogoniland Environmental Crisis

The Ogoniland environmental crisis remains one of the most extensively documented examples of environmental degradation resulting from petroleum operations in Nigeria. Ogoniland, located in Rivers State within the Niger Delta region, has experienced decades of oil exploration and production activities, which have resulted in severe environmental pollution.[85] Over time, repeated oil spills, inadequate maintenance of infrastructure and ineffective regulatory oversight contributed to widespread contamination of land and water resources.

The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland revealed extensive pollution of soil, groundwater, surface water and vegetation. The report further found that many communities were exposed to drinking water contaminated with petroleum hydrocarbons at levels significantly above

[84] P Sands and J Peel, Principles of International Environmental Law, 3rd edn (Cambridge University Press, 2012) p. 742.

[85] United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) p. 9.

internationally accepted standards.[86] Farmlands and fishing grounds, which traditionally sustained local livelihoods, were also severely affected. The Ogoniland experience demonstrates the long-term consequences of inadequate environmental regulation and delayed remediation. It also highlights the difficulties faced by affected communities in securing environmental justice and ecological restoration. The UNEP Report recommended, inter alia, the establishment of an environmental restoration programme to address the scale of degradation in the area, underscoring the need for sustained governmental and corporate commitment to remediation efforts.[87]

6.2 The Funiwa Oil Field Blowout

The Funiwa oil field blowout is regarded as one of the most significant offshore oil disasters in Nigeria's petroleum history. The incident, which occurred in the early 1980s, resulted in the uncontrolled discharge of crude oil into the marine environment, causing extensive pollution of surrounding waters.[88] The spill led to severe ecological damage, including disruption of marine biodiversity and destruction of aquatic habitats. It also adversely affected fishing activities, thereby undermining the economic livelihoods of coastal communities dependent on marine resources.[89] The incident exposed weaknesses in emergency response capacity and environmental management practices within the offshore petroleum sector. The Funiwa blowout remains an important reference point in discussions on environmental liability, corporate responsibility and the need for effective regulatory oversight of offshore oil operations in Nigeria.

6.3 Gas Flaring and Environmental Degradation in the Niger Delta

Gas flaring has remained one of the most persistent environmental challenges associated with oil production in Nigeria. For several decades, oil companies operating in the Niger Delta routinely flared associated gas generated during crude oil extraction, despite various

[86] Ibid., p. 23.

[87] Ibid., p. 211

[88] M A Ajomo, Oil and Gas Law in Nigeria (Malthouse Press Ltd, Lagos, 2001) p. 112.

[89] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 88.

regulatory efforts aimed at reducing the practice.[90] The environmental consequences of gas flaring include air pollution, acid rain, destruction of vegetation and emission of greenhouse gases. Communities located near flare sites have frequently reported adverse health effects, including respiratory illnesses and eye irritation. Prolonged exposure has also been linked to reduced agricultural productivity and deterioration of local environmental conditions.[91]

The decision in Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others marked a significant development in Nigerian environmental jurisprudence. The Federal High Court held that continuous gas flaring violated the fundamental rights to life and dignity guaranteed under the Constitution and the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights.[92] Although enforcement challenges persist, the case remains a landmark in the recognition of environmental rights within Nigerian law.

6.4 The Bodo Oil Spill Incident

Another notable example is the Bodo oil spill incident in Rivers State. Between 2008 and 2009, significant oil spills occurred in the Bodo community as a result of pipeline failures.[93] The spills caused extensive contamination of creeks, mangrove forests and fishing grounds, resulting in severe environmental and socio-economic losses for residents. The incident attracted international attention and ultimately led to compensation claims against the responsible oil operator. The Bodo case illustrates the devastating consequences of oil pollution and the difficulties often encountered in securing timely remediation and adequate compensation for affected communities.[94] It also underscores the importance of maintaining petroleum

---

[90] N Okorodudu-Fubara, Law of Environmental Protection: Materials and Text (Caltop Publications, Ibadan, 1998) p. 157.

[91] United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), Niger Delta Human Development Report (UNDP, 2006) p. 94.

[92] Jonah Gbemre v Shell Petroleum Development Company Nigeria Ltd and Others (2005) AHRLR 151 (NgHC 2005).

[93] A Adewale, 'The Bodo Oil Spill and Environmental Justice in Nigeria' (2012) 6 Nigerian Environmental Law Review 45 at 49.

[94] UNEP, Environmental Assessment of Ogoniland (UNEP, 2011) p. 87.

infrastructure and ensuring prompt response to environmental emergencies. The foregoing case studies reveal recurring patterns of environmental degradation, delayed remediation, inadequate compensation and weak enforcement of environmental regulations. They provide empirical evidence of systemic weaknesses in Nigeria's environmental governance framework and reinforce the need for comprehensive legal and institutional reforms. Overall, environmental degradation in Nigeria's oil-producing regions reflects not merely isolated incidents but structural deficiencies in regulation, enforcement, remediation and compensation mechanisms.

7. Challenges of Environmental Regulation and Compensation

Despite the existence of a relatively extensive legal and institutional framework for environmental protection in Nigeria's oil and gas sector, several challenges continue to undermine effective regulation, enforcement, and compensation. These challenges limit the capacity of the legal system to adequately prevent environmental harm and provide remedies to affected persons.

7.1 Weak Enforcement of Environmental Laws

One of the most significant challenges is the weak enforcement of environmental legislation. Although statutes such as the Petroleum Industry Act 2021, the National Oil Spill Detection and Response Agency (Establishment) Act, and the National Environmental Standards and Regulations Enforcement Agency Act provide regulatory mechanisms, enforcement remains inconsistent and often ineffective. Regulatory agencies frequently face constraints such as inadequate funding, limited technical capacity, and institutional inefficiencies, which hinder effective monitoring and compliance.

7.2 Regulatory Overlap and Institutional Fragmentation

Environmental governance in Nigeria is characterised by overlapping mandates among regulatory agencies, including the Nigerian Upstream Petroleum Regulatory Commission, NOSDRA, and NESREA. This fragmentation often results in jurisdictional conflicts, duplication of functions, and lack of coordination in responding to environmental incidents. Consequently, enforcement efforts are sometimes delayed or weakened due to bureaucratic inefficiencies.

7.3 Inadequate Remediation and Delayed Response to Oil Spills

Timely response to oil spill incidents remains a persistent challenge. Delays in containment and remediation exacerbate environmental damage and increase the cost of restoration. In many cases, affected communities experience prolonged exposure to polluted environments before cleanup operations are undertaken. The absence of strict enforcement of remediation timelines further undermines environmental protection efforts.

7.4 Challenges in Establishing Liability

Victims of environmental pollution often face significant legal and evidentiary burdens in establishing liability. In many cases, oil companies attribute pollution to sabotage, theft, or third-party interference, thereby shifting responsibility away from operators. This defence frequently complicates litigation and delays access to compensation. The burden of proof placed on claimants further limits their ability to obtain effective remedies.

7.5 Inadequate Compensation Framework

The existing compensation framework under Nigerian environmental and petroleum laws has been widely criticised as inadequate. Compensation is often delayed, insufficient, or restricted to narrowly defined categories of loss. Moreover, procedural complexities and litigation costs discourage affected individuals and communities from pursuing legal remedies. As a result, many victims of environmental pollution remain uncompensated or under-compensated for their losses.

7.6 Weak Community Participation and Access to Information

Effective environmental governance requires meaningful participation of host communities in decision-making processes. However, in practice, affected communities often have limited access to environmental information and are excluded from key regulatory decisions. This lack of transparency reduces accountability and weakens trust between stakeholders, regulatory agencies, and oil operators.

7.7 Security Challenges and Operational Disruptions

Insecurity in some oil-producing regions has further complicated environmental regulation and response efforts. Issues such as vandalism, militancy, and oil theft not only contribute to environmental degradation but also impede regulatory access to affected sites. This makes monitoring, enforcement, and remediation more difficult for relevant authorities. Overall, these challenges demonstrate that while Nigeria possesses a formal legal framework for environmental protection in the oil and gas sector, significant gaps remain in implementation, enforcement, and access to justice. Addressing these challenges is essential to ensuring effective environmental governance and equitable compensation for affected communities.

8. Conclusion

This article has examined the environmental hazards associated with oil and gas operations in Nigeria and their legal and socio-economic implications, with particular emphasis on oil spills, gas flaring, effluent discharge and deforestation. It has demonstrated that these hazards have resulted in severe environmental degradation, loss of biodiversity, public health challenges and socio-economic dislocation, especially in oil-producing communities within the Niger Delta region. The study further revealed that although Nigeria has developed a relatively comprehensive legal and institutional framework for environmental protection, including the Petroleum Industry Act 2021 and other relevant environmental statutes, the effectiveness of these laws is significantly constrained by weak enforcement mechanisms, institutional fragmentation and inadequate compensation regimes. These deficiencies have created a persistent gap between environmental regulation in principle and its implementation in practice.

Accordingly, it is evident that addressing environmental degradation in Nigeria's oil and gas sector requires more than the existence of legal frameworks; it demands effective enforcement, institutional coordination and a rights-based approach to environmental governance. Strengthening regulatory capacity, enhancing inter-agency collaboration, and ensuring fair, timely and adequate compensation for affected communities are essential steps towards achieving sustainable development within Nigeria's petroleum

industry. Ultimately, environmental protection in oil-producing areas is not only a legal obligation but also a socio-economic and human rights imperative. Effective environmental governance will require sustained commitment from government institutions, regulatory agencies and industry operators to ensure that economic development does not continue to occur at the expense of environmental integrity, public health and community well-being.